

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE UNITED STAT Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Address:

Washington, D.C. 20231 G.R.

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE**

09/116,425

07/15/98

PIECHOWIAK

R

M-2760-3P

EXAMINER

QM12/0103

BRIAN D OGONOWSKY SKJERVEN MORRILL MACPHERSON FRANKLIN & FRIEL 25 METRO DRIVE - SUITE 700 SAN JOSE CA 95110-1349

CHEBUBIN ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3713 **DATE MAILED:**

01/03/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant	(s)
Office Action Summary	''		,
	09/116,425	PIECHOW	/IAK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Yveste G. Cherubin	3713	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.			
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). 			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 October 2000.			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
72) The bath of declaration is especied to by the E	Adminion.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been: 1. ☐ received.			
2. received in Application No. (Series Code / Serial Number)			
3. received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(e).			
Attachment(s)			
 14) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 15) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 16) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	18) 🔲 1	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Notice of Informal Patent Appli Other:	

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/116,425

Art Unit: 3713

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to the amendment of the Application Serial No. 09/116,425 filed on October 10, 2000, in which claims 1 - 16 are pending, claims 1, 3, 4, 6 are amended and claims 7 – 16 are added. A non-final office action is being issued.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1 – 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to teach how to make and/or use the invention, i.e., failing to provide an enabling disclosure. Applicant has failed to state or teach one of ordinary skill in the art the amount of hit counts needed to win a bonus award. Without this disclosure one of ordinary skill in the art cannot practice the invention without undue experimentation because of the number of operational parameters in the process and uncertainty as to the mechanism of the winning process.

Double Patenting

- 3. It is noted that Application Serial No.09/116,425 and US Patent No. 6,012,982 have identical specification. Furthermore, the claims in both are reciting the same elements. As noted below, the claims are different, but they are obvious over each other and are rejected over obvious double patenting.
- 4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11

Application/Control Number: 09/116,425

Art Unit: 3713

F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 1 - 16 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 - 26 of U.S. Patent No. 6,012,982. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it would be obvious to omit the linking features and corresponding functions that Patent No. 6,012,982 is showing because each gaming machine is operating like a conventional machine as in Application No. 09/116,425.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 – 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Marnell II (US Patent No. 5,393,057).

As per claims 1 – 16, Marnell II discloses all the claimed features in this instant application. Marnell II's system, in Fig. 1, discloses an electronic machine that has the ability to display two games in one machine, using the same circuitry, a primary gaming

Application/Control Number: 09/116,425

Art Unit: 3713

device such as a poker gaming device or a slot machine and a secondary gaming

device such as a bingo gaming device, see 2:35-45. Upon the occurrence of winning

hands in the primary game, players receive an award and the secondary game or bonus

is generated, see 6:14-27. Upon winning of the secondary game or bonus, players will

receive an additional award, see 6:14-27. Marnell II's type system discloses the use of

coins or tokens to grant award, see 4:26-51. In figs. 1 and 2, it's clear that grid patterns,

playing cards, video reel symbols, numbers and letters are used as the different types of

indicia for both gaming devices.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Yveste G. Cherubin whose telephone number is (703)

306-3027. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 - 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Valencia Martin-Wallace can be reached on (703) 308-4119. The fax phone

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)

305-3579 for regular communications and (703) 305-3579 for After Final

communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

1148.

December 28, 2000

ygc V

Joe H. Chena

Page 4

<u>ATTACHMENT TO AND MODIFICATION OF</u> <u>NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY (PTO-37)</u>

(November, 2000)

NO EXTENSIONS OF TIME ARE PERMITTED TO FILE CORRECTED OR FORMAL DRAWINGS, OR A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION, notwithstanding any indication to the contrary in the attached Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).

If the following language appears on the attached Notice of Allowability, the portion lined through below is of no force and effect and is to be ignored¹:

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE to comply with the requirements noted below is set to EXPIRE **THREE MONTHS** FROM THE "DATE MAILED" of this Office action Failure to comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application. Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a)

Similar language appearing in any attachments to the Notice of Allowability, such as in an Examiner's Amendment/Comment or in a Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948, is also to be ignored.

¹ The language which is crossed out is contrary to amended 37 CFR 1.85(c) and 1.136. See "Changes to Implement the Patent Business Goals", 65 Fed. Reg. 54603, 54629, 54641, 54670, 54674 (September 8, 2000), 1238 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 77, 99, 110, 135, 139 (September 19, 2000).